UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,771	05/16/2007	Roberto Polloni	P/2528-47 V1319	1091
	7590 09/11/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			DURAND, PAUL R	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/598,771	POLLONI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	PAUL R. DURAND	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —	/				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	. panto Quayio, 1000 0.21 1.1, 10	3.3.2.2.3.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) are subject to restriction and, or stockion requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attach manut/a)					
Attachment(s) 1) M Notice of References Cited (RTO 902) 1) M Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/11/06, 9/21/06</u> . 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/598,771 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 5-18, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, the phrase "at least partly defining relative said first" is indefinite in that the examiner cannot understand this limitation and it appears that some of the limitation may have been omitted.

In claim 13, it is unclear to the examiner what reversible encompasses. It the wheel reversibly operated or mounted?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seragnoli (US 4,095,396).

In claim 1, Seragnoli discloses the invention as claimed including a input portion having a first packing module (generally indicated by wheels 11 and 12), wrapping

sheets of material about a group of cigarettes (no number for the cigarettes and wrapper, but shown inside wheel 11 in figure 4), to form a succession of first packages, an output potion comprised of a second packaging module (generally indicated by wheel 13 and 15), wrapping outer blanks 14, around the first packages to form a succession of second packets to form a completed pack, where the outer blanks are inseparably connected to the first packets of cigarettes (see figures 3, 4 and col. 3, lines 14-65).

In clams 2 and 3, Seragnoli discloses the invention as claimed including first and said second packing module each comprise a respective input wheel 11 and 13 and a respective output wheel 12 and 15 having a first and a second axis respectively with the input wheels and output wheels rotating in steps about their respective axes, which are located crosswise to each other (see figure 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seragnoli.

Seragnoli discloses the invention as claimed including first and second packing modules, having first and second input and output wheels, located on an axes

Application/Control Number: 10/598,771 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

transverse to each other. What Seragnoli does not disclose is the first axis consisting of a vertical axis and the second consisting of a horizontal axis and the arrangement of the packages during the wrapping operation. However, the examiner asserts that it is an obvious matter of design choice to arrange parts in a predetermined manner based on the operational parameters of the device, such a user requirements and design layout.

Additionally, it has been generally held that the rearrangement of parts of a device has been held to be within routine skill in the art, where the structural limitations of the claims have been disclosed. *See generally* MPEP § 2144.04. Moreover, expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim. *Ex parte Thibault*, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). *See also* MPEP § 2115.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5-18, 22 and 23 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL R. DURAND whose telephone number is (571)272-4459. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,771 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PAUL R. DURAND/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721 September 10, 2008